

115-25-9a. Deer; open season, bag limit, and permits; additional considerations; military subunits. (a) In addition to the season for designated persons specified in K.A.R. 115-25-9, in the Fort Riley subunit the season for designated persons shall also be October 10, 2020 through October 12, 2020.

(b) In the Fort Riley subunit, the open firearm season for the taking of deer shall be November 27, 2020 through November 29, 2020 and December 15, 2020 through December 23, 2020.

(c) In addition to the archery season specified in K.A.R. 115-25-9, the open archery season for the taking of deer in the Fort Riley subunit shall be September 1, 2020 through September 13, 2020 and January 11, 2021 through January 31, 2021 by individuals who possess the required authorization issued by Fort Riley to hunt for deer during the specified days.

(d) In the Fort Riley subunit, the pre-rut white-tailed deer antlerless-only season specified in K.A.R. 115-25-9 shall be closed.

(e) In the Fort Leavenworth subunit, the open firearm season for the taking of deer shall be November 14, 2020 through November 15, 2020; November 21, 2020 through November 22, 2020; November 26, 2020 through November 29, 2020; December 5, 2020 through December 6, 2020; and December 12, 2020 through December 13, 2020.

(f) In the Fort Leavenworth subunit, the extended firearms season for the taking of antlerless only white-tailed deer shall be January 1, 2021 through January 24, 2021.

(g) In the Fort Leavenworth subunit, the extended archery season for the taking of antlerless only white-tailed deer shall be January 25, 2021 through January 31, 2021.

(h) In the Smokey Hill subunit, the open firearm season for the taking of deer shall be

APPROVED

MAY 07 2020

DIVISION OF THE BUDGET

APPROVED

MAY 07 2020

DEPT. OF ADMINISTRATION

APPROVED

MAY 08 2020

ATTORNEY GENERAL

December 2, 2020 through December 13, 2020.

This regulation shall have no force and effect on and after March 1, 2021. (Authorized by and implementing K.S.A. 2019 Supp. 32-807 and K.S.A. 2019 Supp. 32-937.)

APPROVED

MAY 07 2020

APPROVED

MAY 07 2020

APPROVED

MAY 08 2020

ATTORNEY GENERAL

DIVISION OF THE BUDGET DEPT. OF ADMINISTRATION

**Kansas Administrative Regulations
Economic Impact Statement
For the Kansas Division of the Budget**

KDWPT
Agency

Christopher J Tymeson
Agency Contact

785-296-1032
Contact Phone Number

K.A.R. 115-25-9a
K.A.R. Number(s)

Submit a hard copy of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) and any external documents that the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) would adopt, along with the following to:

Division of the Budget
900 SW Jackson, Room 504-N
Topeka, KS 66612

I. Brief description of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s).

This proposed version of the regulation sets deer seasons on military installations in the state and merely adjusts the dates from the previous season.

II. Statement by the agency if the rule(s) and regulation(s) is mandated by the federal government and a statement if approach chosen to address the policy issue is different from that utilized by agencies of contiguous states or the federal government. *(If the approach is different, then include a statement of why the Kansas rule and regulation proposed is different)*

This is not a federal mandate. Missouri, Oklahoma, Nebraska and Colorado all have varying regulations dealing with deer seasons.

III. Agency analysis specifically addressing following:

A. The extent to which the rule(s) and regulation(s) will enhance or restrict business activities and growth;


The proposed amendments will not enhance or restrict business activities and growth.

B. The economic effect, including a detailed quantification of implementation and compliance costs, on the specific businesses, sectors, public utility ratepayers, individuals, and local governments that would be affected by the proposed rule and regulation and on the state economy as a whole;

The proposed amendments would have an economic effect on businesses surrounding the military installations and individuals who purchase permits to hunt deer on those installations. However, any economic impact would be included in K.A.R. 115-25-9, statewide deer seasons because permits are not available specifically for military installations and it is impossible to estimate the number of participants.

C. Businesses that would be directly affected by the proposed rule and regulation;

Any business that sells products or services to deer hunters, including sporting goods retailers, outfitters, grocery stores, service stations, hotels, etc.



D. Benefits of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) compared to the costs;

If there were no regulation and no deer season, there would be lost collateral economic impact to the state and deer numbers would increase, thereby causing negative human wildlife interactions.

E. Measures taken by the agency to minimize the cost and impact of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) on business and economic development within the State of Kansas, local government, and individuals;

There are no costs associated with this proposal. Any data is included in the general deer regulation, K.A.R. 115-25-9.

F. An estimate, expressed as a total dollar figure, of the total annual implementation and compliance costs that are reasonably expected to be incurred by or passed along to business, local governments, or members of the public.

There are no implementation or compliance costs with this proposal. Any data is included in the general deer regulation, K.A.R. 115-25-9.

An estimate, expressed as a total dollar figure, of the total implementation and compliance costs that are reasonably expected to be incurred by or passed along to business, local governments, or members of the public.

There are no implementation or compliance costs with this proposal. Any data is included in the general deer regulation, K.A.R. 115-25-9.

Do the above total implementation and compliance costs exceed \$3.0 million over any two-year period?

YES ☐ NO ☒

Give a detailed statement of the data and methodology used in estimating the above cost estimate.

There are no implementation or compliance costs with this proposal. Any data and methodology is included in the general deer regulation, K.A.R. 115-25-9.

Prior to the submission or resubmission of the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s), did the agency hold a public hearing if the total implementation and compliance costs exceed \$3.0 million over any two-year period to find that the estimated costs have been accurately determined and are necessary for achieving legislative intent? If applicable, document when the public hearing was held, those in attendance, and any pertinent information from the hearing.

YES ☐ NO ☒

G. If the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) increases or decreases revenues of cities, counties or school districts, or imposes functions or responsibilities on cities, counties or school districts that will increase expenditures or fiscal liability, describe how the state agency consulted with the League of Kansas

DOB APPROVAL STAMP
APPROVED
MAY 07 2020
DIVISION OF THE BUDGET

Municipalities, Kansas Association of Counties, and/or the Kansas Association of School Boards.

Not applicable.

- H. Describe how the agency consulted and solicited information from businesses, associations, local governments, state agencies, or institutions and members of the public that may be affected by the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s).**

News releases to every newspaper in the state, discussion at prior public hearings and meetings which are broadcast online, publication in the Kansas Register and publication on the Department's website.

- I. For environmental rule(s) and regulation(s) describe the costs that would likely accrue if the proposed rule(s) and regulation(s) are not adopted, as well as the persons would bear the costs and would be affected by the failure to adopt the rule(s) and regulation(s).**

Not applicable.

